

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/769,393 | GENG, Z. JASON | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sherali Ishrat | 2621 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Steven Nichols.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-18, 22, 27-34, 40-47.

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that based on the Applicant's persuasive arguments presented in the pre-appeal brief and updated prior art search, independent claims 1, 12, 22, 27 and 40 are allowable over prior art of record. However Applicant has also argued regarding claims 46-47, claims 46-47 belongs to the non-elected Group and therefore they should be canceled with the other non-elected claims. Applicant agreed to cancel non elected claim 23-26, 35-39 and also claims 46-47 by an examiner's amendmen to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's Attorney also informed Examiner that Applicant reserve the right to file divisional application for non elected claims 23-26, 35-39 and 46-47..